

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,496	11/06/2003	Yutaka Tosaki	Q78309 7626 EXAMINER		
23373	7590 06/21/2005				
	E MION, PLLC	ZIRKER, DANIEL R			
SUITE 800	SYLVANIA AVENUE,	1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC 20037		1771		
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del></del>					
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/701,49	96	TOSAKI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Daniel Ziri	,	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm be period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication. )) days, a reply within the stati tutory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be t utory minimum of thirty (30) do ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on .					
,		2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) tion to the drawing(s) be the correction is require	oe held in abeyance. So ed if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	• •			•			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	ro-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔯 Infori	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/3/04,: 11/6/03</u> .			Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit 1771

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of
 U.S.C. § 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicants regard as their invention.

-2-

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2. second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. More particularly, each of these dependent claims recites a multilayer structure for the pressure sensitive adhesive layer(s) positioned on the substrate; however, it is noted that in claim 5, lines 11-18 the relationship between the outer layer and the pressure sensitive adhesive layer taken as a whole clearly overlap with respect to the amount of the anionic emulsifier which is required to be present in each layer. By so doing, of course, no layered structure is required to exist for these embodiments and as a result the claim is considered to be vague and indefinite. With respect to claim 6, the same relationship appears to exist with respect to the embodiment in which 3 parts per weight of the emulsifier is present, since the amount of the emulsifier in the outer layer and the amount of the emulsifier for the entire adhesive layer overlap and are thus identical for this particular amount. Clarification is requested.

Art Unit 1771

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran et al. Note particularly Examples 44-46, as well as column 1 lines 54-65, column 2 lines 29-41, column 5 lines 21-47, column 6 lines 18-28, line 65 column 7 line 46, column 11 lines 5-34, column 20 line 5 column 23 line 4. The reference discloses, in certain embodiments, substantially an anticipation of at least applicants' broad claim except for a specific recitation of the various amounts of such elements as the sulfur atom containing anionic emulsifier and hydrophilic polymer so that it is not clear whether or not the specific ranges of proportions set forth in applicants' claims are met. However, note in the Examples section not only the Examples 44-46, but the list of ingredients beginning the Examples Section for not only the acrylic adhesive but also the

Serial No. 10/701,496

Art Unit 1771

surfactants and suspending agents which read upon ingredients in applicants' claimed genus of articles, together with the fact that the various adhesive compositions can be coated on a suitable substrate. With respect to the layered structures of claims 5 and 6, as noted earlier, no layered relationship is believed to be necessary to meet the parameters of claim 5, as previously pointed out, and with respect to claim 6 to have an amount of 3 parts by weight of anionic emulsifier containing a sulfur atom for both the outer adhesive layer and also the remainder of the adhesive composition is believed to be an obvious modification to one of ordinary skill, in the absence of unexpected results.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note also the PG pubs to Tosaki et al., U.S. 2003/0162886, U.S. 2005/0075444, and U.S. 2004/0091689, the latter being the PG pub for the present application, and it is also noted that each of these has as inventors each of the three co-inventors of the present application. Additionally, note also Kassner, Hauber, and GB 1409594.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is (571) 272-1486. The examiner

Art Unit 1771

can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dzirker:cdc

June 14, 2005

DANIEL ZIRKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Daniel Zukw